

Evaluation of Nursing Diagnoses Perception among Nursing Students

Pramilaa R

Follow this and additional works at: <https://impressions.manipal.edu/mjnhs>



Part of the [Other Nursing Commons](#)

Evaluation of Perception on Nursing Diagnoses among Nursing students

Pramilaa R*

Email: pramilaravi@yahoo.com

<https://doi.org/10.55889/2582-7979.1294>

Abstract

Introduction: The basic quality of nursing practice is being compassionate. This quality is one of the significant functions of the nursing profession. The nursing process manages the sick population holistically encompassing all domains such as socio-cultural, psychological, economic, and spiritual. It augments critical thinking too. The nursing process is also viewed from a resolution perspective. **Objectives:** The objectives of this study were to assess the student nurses' opinions on nursing diagnosis, to assess the level of perception of nursing diagnosis among student nurses, and to find the association between the level of perception of nursing diagnosis among student nurses with selected demographic variables. **Methodology:** A descriptive study to evaluate the nursing students' perception of nursing diagnoses was conducted. Permission for the study was obtained from the institutional research advisory committee. The sample population of the study consists of all students studying in the second, third, and fourth-year BSc, second and third-year GNM. Permission letters were sent to about 150 nursing colleges across India out of which, 90 colleges consented to participate in this study. A Google Form was prepared and shared. The total number of students who completed the forms was 5,532. The tool consists of two sections. Section A contains demographic variables and section B encompasses the Nursing Diagnosis Perception Scale (NDPS), a standardized instrument. It is a five-point Likert scale with 26 items and 4 subscales. The scores are calculated from 5 as 'I completely agree' to 1 as 'I completely disagree'. **Results:** The results of the study demonstrated that 52% of respondents were familiar with nursing diagnosis before nursing education, 87.3% were competent enough to make a diagnosis, 95.1% opined that NANDA-I nursing diagnosis is necessary, 95.5% believed that nursing diagnosis produced a correct, planned and systematized care, 94.8% believed that nursing diagnoses increase patients' quality of life, majority 41% reported that nursing diagnosis is the difficult step in the nursing process, and 38.2% of students reported that they use the diagnostic label of 'infection risk' frequently. The overall mean perception of the nursing students related to nursing diagnoses ranged from 1.93-2.33 and the median was 2. There significant association found between perception of nursing students and program and nursing profession. **Conclusion:** The student nurses' perception of nursing diagnoses was moderate to positive. A positive level of perception indicates that the patient would receive high-quality care.

Keywords: Nursing diagnoses, NDPS scale, NANDA I, perception.

Introduction

Nursing is a masterly profession that renders tailored care to ill people in a structured manner. This structured avenue that is embraced in the remittance of care arises in the nursing process. The basic quality of the nursing practice is being compassionate and this quality is one

of the significant functions of the nursing profession (Domingoes et al., 2017). The nursing process manages the sick population holistically encompassing all domains such as socio-cultural, psychological, economic, and spiritual. It augments critical thinking too. The nursing process is also viewed from a resolution perspective.

Pramilaa R*

Professor cum Principal, Chirayu College of Nursing, Bhainsakhedi, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Manuscript received: 29 September 2023

Revision accepted: 30 January 2024

*Corresponding Author

Nursing diagnosis authorizes nurses to utilize executive language in the following activities. These activities comprise arranging and dispensing information, forming judgments, and regulating relevant patient consequences and nursing practices. Just like that, the freedom of the nurses rises and extends above the old access of the nursing profession. Nursing diagnosis is

How to cite this article: Pramilaa, R. (2023). Evaluation of Perception on Nursing Diagnoses perception among Nursing students. *Manipal Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences*, 9(2), 36-44.

one way that decides how nursing knowledge is used. Simultaneously, their involvement in the autonomy of the nursing profession and furnishing quality monikers gains significance. The right way of utilizing nursing diagnosis bestows to escalate the quality of healthcare and raise patient safety. Nursing education paves the way for the attribution of significance to precise nursing diagnoses. North American Nursing Diagnosis Association (NANDA) adds up to a “clinical judgment of responses for the individual, faculty or community to the vital processes or current or potential health problems”. And it serves as a fundamental for choosing nursing care to attain desired outcomes for which nurses are completely accountable. Generating a positive school of thought among nursing students about NANDA nursing diagnosis is a way forward to build upon NANDA’s adherence to clinical practice.

Three studies brought out a customary finding that the nursing students discovered that nursing diagnoses were appropriate and significant. Zaybak et al., (2020) postulated that the top three attitudes from the bottom towards nursing diagnoses and professional values were difficulty, creativity, and comfort. Furthermore, a study conducted by El-Rahman (2017) brought to light that comfort, difficulty, and pleasantry were the three attitudes identified in the same order as the above-stated study. Another study conducted by Dennis Ngao (2015) revealed that the majority of the nurses (61.2%) underwent training on the nursing process in college, 15.7% reported that they were refined during seminars and 8.2% stated that they were trained during the job. The researcher asserted that there is a wide gap in the renewal of nursing process execution. Additionally, the significance of continuing nursing education programs was also intensified.

The theoretical and practical application of nursing diagnoses among nurses is obtained during the training period and at work equitably. The author described that nursing diagnosis were utilized less when they were students than during their clinical practice. A study demonstrated that 54% of nurses utilized nursing diagnoses during the training experience. It is found that student nurses invest a greater number of hours in formulating care plans with little scope for critical

thinking which results in the poor use of nursing diagnoses when they become clinical nurses.

Studies have demonstrated that applying the nursing process in our practice has been executed in several healthcare organizations. Additionally, it was also proved that the value of nursing diagnosis took great heights in terms of professionalism and responsibility by utilizing the same in clinical practice coupled with improving in quality nursing care and documentation as well (Novotny-Dinsdale, 1985). An explicit nursing diagnosis measures the outcome of the patient and solves their health problem. Additionally, written nursing care plans ensures quality nursing care to the patients (Carpenito–Moyet, 2013).

Besides quality care, the execution of nursing process instills the construction of theoretical and scientific knowledge based on the best clinical practice (Pokorski et al., 2009; Zamanzadeh et al., 2015; Semachew, 2018) asserted that nurses face challenges in the application of the nursing process due to several causes. Thus, it is predominant that the nursing process is completely acknowledged and personalized by individual nurses and that they develop competencies in using the nursing process efficiently (Zamanzadeh et al., 2015).

Objectives of the study

The objectives of the study were to assess the student nurses’ opinions on nursing diagnosis, to assess the level of perception of nursing diagnosis among student nurses, and to associate the level of perception of nursing diagnosis among student nurses with selected demographic variables.

Methodology

A quantitative approach using the descriptive method was solicited for the present study. The investigator had obtained ethical clearance from the Institutional Research Advisory Committee. The investigator had approached around 150 nursing colleges across India. The access to the said colleges was carried out through a snowball approach. Initially, permission letters along with a short proposal of the study and Ethical clearance were sent to 150 colleges out of which, 90 colleges responded within the time frame. The inclusion criteria were the nursing students from BSc Nursing and GNM

Nursing wherein the first-year students were excluded. Apart from the demographic data, the tool utilized for this study was the Nursing Diagnoses Perception Scale (NDPS). This scale was developed by Frost et al., in 1991. It is a five-point Likert scale with four subscales in it. The scale ranges from 1-5. Point 1 for strongly agree and 5 for strongly disagree. The total score of the NDPS scale is 130. A low total score depicts that the nursing diagnoses are perceived positively. In contrast, when the scores increase it indicates that the nursing diagnoses are perceived negatively. The four subscales of NDPS are the definition and promotion of the nursing profession (9 items); clear definition of patient status (7 items); ease of use (6 items); and conceptual aspect (4 items). It consists of 26 items in total. It was prepared in the form of Google Forms. The generated Google link was distributed to the heads of the nursing institutions who provided consent and they shared the same with the students. The sampling technique solicited for the present study was the snowball sampling method. The students who were willing to participate and provided the consent had completed the self-reports. A total of 5,532 students had responded altogether. The questionnaire was tested for reliability using Cronbach's alpha and the value was found to be 0.85.

Results

The data gathered were analyzed based on the objectives of the study using descriptive and inferential statistics. SPSS version 25.0 was utilized for data analysis.

Table 1

Distribution of Frequency and Percentage of Demographic Variables

N=5532

Variables	Frequency (f)	Percent (%)
Age		
18-20	2857	51.6
21-23	2520	45.6
24-26	106	1.9
27-29	38	0.7
30-32	11	0.2
Gender		
Male	744	13.4
Female	4788	86.6
Program		

Variables	Frequency (f)	Percent (%)
GNM	655	11.8
BSc Nursing	4877	88.2
Year of study		
Second year	2600	47.0
Third year	2932	53.0
Choice of Nursing Profession		
Personal liking	2841	51.4
High Employment opportunities	1664	30.1
Parents force	406	7.3
Others	621	11.2
Steps in nursing process		
Assessment	1102	19.9
Evaluation	267	4.8
Implementation	892	16.1
Nursing diagnosis	2311	41.8
Planning	960	17.4
Total	5532	100.0
Nursing Diagnostic label mostly used		
Anxiety	558	10.1
Falling risk	163	2.9
Impaired Skin integrity	79	1.4
Infection risk	2100	37.9
Lack of Knowledge	1038	18.8
Malnutrition	183	3.3
Other	785	14.2
Shortness of breath	626	11.3
Total	5532	100.0

Table 1 reveals the frequency and percentage of demographic characteristics of the participants. Majority 51.6% belonged to the age group of 18-20; 86.6% were females; 84.9% were studying BSc Nursing program; 53% of them belonged to third year; 51.4% chose nursing as their personal choice; 41.8% reported that nursing diagnosis was the difficult step in nursing process; and 37.9% frequently used 'infection risk' diagnostic label.

Table 2

Frequency and Percentage Distribution of the Opinion on Nursing Diagnosis among Nursing Students

N=5532

Opinion	Frequency (f)	Percent (%)
Were you familiar about nursing diagnosis before nursing education?		
No	2888	52.2
Yes	2644	47.8
Total	5532	100.0
Are you competent enough to make nursing diagnosis?		
No	749	13.5
Yes	4783	86.4
Total	5532	100.0

Opinion	Frequency (f)	Percent (%)
Do you think NANDA–I nursing diagnosis is necessary?		
No	273	4.9
Yes	5259	95.1
Total	5532	100.0
Do you believe that nursing diagnoses produce correct, planned systemized care?		
No	256	4.6
Yes	5276	95.4
Total	5532	100.0
Nursing diagnoses increases patients' quality of life		
No	309	5.6
Yes	5223	94.4
Total	5532	100.0
The most difficult step in the nursing process is:		
Assessment	1102	19.9
Evaluation	267	4.8
Implementation	892	16.1
Nursing diagnosis	2311	41.8
Planning	960	17.4
Total	5532	100.0

Table 2 depicts the opinion of the nursing diagnosis among the participants. The findings demonstrate that the majority 52.2% were not familiar with nursing diagnosis before nursing education; 86.4% reported that they were competent to formulate nursing diagnosis; 95.1% expressed that NANDA-I nursing diagnosis is necessary; 95.4% believed that nursing diagnoses produce a correct, planned and systemized care; 94.4% agreed that nursing diagnoses increase patients quality of life; 41.8% stated that the most difficult step in the nursing process is nursing diagnosis.

Table 3

Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of the subscales of the NDPS scale

N=5532

NDPS subscales	Median	Interquartile Range	Min	Max
Definition and promotion of the nursing profession	2	1-2	1	5
Clear definition of patient status	2	2-3	1	5
Ease of use	2	2-3	1	5
Conceptual aspect	2	2-3	1	5

Table 3 reveals the median and interquartile range of the subscales of the NDPS scale. The findings showed that the subscales had a median of 2. The low score indicates that the nursing diagnosis is positively perceived by the students.

Table 4

Item analysis of the NDPS scale

N=5532

Items of NDPS scale	Mini-mum	Maxi-mum	Mean	SD
Definition and promotion of the nursing profession				
8. Nursing diagnoses support the development of autonomy of the profession/ nursing.	1	5	1.86	.800
9. Nursing diagnoses treat the patient from a holistic perspective.	1	5	1.96	.825
11. Nursing diagnoses improve the responsibility (accountability) of nursing.	1	5	1.77	.779
13. Nursing diagnoses enable the nurse to focus on nursing- specific areas.	1	5	2.13	.974
14. Nursing diagnoses improve the professional image of nursing.	1	5	1.84	.815
15. Nursing diagnoses show what nurses are doing	1	5	1.97	.899
18. Nursing diagnoses guide nursing care	1	5	1.79	.780
19. Nursing diagnoses are complementary to medical diagnoses.	1	5	2.10	.907
20. Nursing diagnoses make it easy to record basic data.	1	5	1.92	.847
Clear Definition of patient status				
3. I clearly understand the patient's condition when I look at the nursing diagnoses of the patient.	1	5	1.96	.873
4. Nursing diagnoses facilitate collaboration among health team members.	1	5	2.04	.865
5. Doctors, pharmacists, physiotherapists, and other healthcare team members know what nursing diagnoses mean.	1	5	2.17	1.008
7. Nursing diagnoses provide nurses with as much information as medical diagnoses that provide doctors.	1	5	2.04	.877

Items of NDPS scale	Mini-mum	Maxi-mum	Mean	SD	Items of NDPS scale	Mini-mum	Maxi-mum	Mean	SD
17. When I examine a patient's nursing diagnosis, I usually cannot be sure what the problem the patient has.	1	5	2.56	1.083	26. Most nursing diagnoses are the result of remaining medical diagnoses.	1	5	2.28	.916
23. Patients know their own needs and problems.	1	5	2.94	1.215	Conceptual aspect				
24. I use nursing diagnoses, not medical diagnosis, to inform other nurses about the patient's condition.	1	5	2.37	1.048	2. When planning caring, I usually consider nursing diagnoses rather than medical diagnoses.	1	5	2.16	.882
Ease of use					6. Medical diagnoses provide more convenient (useful) information than nursing diagnoses	1	5	2.24	.931
1. It is easy to use the nursing diagnoses.	1	5	2.16	.898	12. Nursing diagnoses give me more practical information than medical diagnosis.	1	5	2.12	.900
10. Development of nursing diagnoses is time consuming.	1	5	2.30	.958	19. I understand a patient's condition more clearly when I look at the patient's medical diagnoses rather than nursing diagnoses.	1	5	2.23	.963
16. Nursing diagnoses are more difficult to use than medical diagnoses.	1	5	2.54	1.050					
21. I generally approve nursing diagnoses identified by other nurses.	1	5	2.29	.934					
22. It is often difficult to determine appropriate nursing diagnoses after patient evaluation	1	5	2.40	.978					

Table 4 illustrates the item analysis of the NDPS scale. It was found that “nursing diagnosis improve the responsibility (accountability) of nursing” was positively perceived among the nursing students out of 26 items. And the item viewed moderately was “Patients know their own nursing diagnoses”.

Table 5

Association of Socio-Demographic Data with Perceptions

N=5532

Variables	Number	Definition and promotion of nursing profession		Clear Definition of patient status		Ease of doing		Conceptual aspect	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Gender									
Male	744	1.99	0.650	2.32	0.678	2.32	0.667	2.22	0.7
Female	4788	1.91	0.53	2.29	0.62	2.33	0.63	2.18	0.65
		χ^2 3.71		1.212		0.399		1.54	
		p value 0.08		0.22		0.68		0.1224	
Program									
GNM	655	1.81	0.57	2.15	0.64	2.23	0.68	2.04	0.68
BSc Nursing	4877	1.88	0.52	2.30	0.60	2.37	0.65	2.19	0.67
		χ^2 3.196		5.959		5.147		5.370	
		p<0.001		p<0.001		p<0.001		p<0.001	
Which year:									
2 nd year	2600	1.92	0.557	2.295	0.620	2.323	0.635	2.19	0.66
3 rd year	2932	1.98	0.61	2.321	0.510	2.420	0.574	2.63	0.57
		χ^2 3.80		1.71		5.97		26.60	
		p<0.001		0.08		p<0.001		p<0.001	
Nursing Profession									
Personal liking	2841	1.862	0.531	2.242	0.623	2.285	0.643	2.135	0.661
HEO	1664	1.943	0.524	2.338	0.59	2.358	0.598	2.215	0.616

Variables	Number	Definition and promotion of nursing profession		Clear Definition of patient status		Ease of doing		Conceptual aspect	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Parents force	406	2.081	0.63	2.38	0.68	2.383	0.643	2.28	0.664
Others	621	2.065	0.602	2.39	0.67	2.395	0.667	2.31	0.699
χ^2		37.972		16.648		8.954		17.111	
p value		p<0.001		p<0.001		p<0.001		p<0.001	

The findings in Table 5 reveal that there is a significant association between the program and reasons to choose the nursing profession whereas other demographic variables were not significantly associated.

Table: 6

Association of the responses towards NDPS scale with opinions of the participants

N=5322

Items	Completely agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Completely Disagree	χ^2	df	p value
Were you familiar about the nursing diagnosis before nursing education?								
Yes	7	53	1298	1191	98	234.003	4	p<0.001
No	8	96	1937	815	31			
Are you competent enough to make a nursing diagnosis?								
Yes	9	108	2734	1805	127	78.72	4	p<0.001
No	6	41	498	201	3			
Do you think NANDA–I nursing diagnosis is necessary?								
Yes	10	119	3053	1948	129	124.33	4	p<0.001
No	5	30	179	58	1			
Do you believe that nursing diagnoses produce correct, planned systemized care?								
Yes	9	107	3063	1967	130	271.609	4	p<0.001
No	6	42	169	39	0			
Nursing diagnoses increase patients' quality of life								
Yes	8	114	3035	1939	127	161.996	4	p<0.001
No	7	35	197	67	3			
The most difficult step in the nursing process is:								
Assessment	6	31	564	455	46	77.75	16	p<0.001
Nursing Diagnosis	6	55	1366	834	50			
Planning	1	23	613	304	19			
Implementation	2	32	558	288	12			
Evaluation	0	8	131	125	3			
Nursing Diagnoses mostly used								

Items	Completely agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Completely Disagree	χ^2	df	p value
Infection Risk	4	47	1118	877	54	114.546	28	p<0.001
Lack of Knowledge	1	22	609	383	23			
Falling Risk	1	6	91	58	7			
Malnutrition	1	16	115	47	4			
Shortness of Breath	0	16	410	190	10			
Impaired Skin Integrity	0	3	50	25	1			
Anxiety	1	17	369	163	8			
Other	7	22	470	263	23			

Table 6 depicts that there was a significant association between the responses given by the nursing students on the NDPS scale with their opinions of nursing diagnosis.

Discussion:

The base of the nursing process is the nursing diagnosis step. The matter of discussion that exists in the nursing process is about the right use of nursing diagnosis at large. The present study findings demonstrated that the nursing students experienced great difficulty in the diagnosis stage (41.8%), then in the assessment stage (19.9%), and least in the evaluation stage (4.8%). Previous research has produced findings that are consistent with these. Andsoy et al., (2013), Pokorski et al., (2009), and Zaybak et al., (2016) found in their study that nurses experienced a great difficulty in the nursing diagnosis. Zaybak et al., (2016) revealed that nurses experienced great difficulty in the assessment stage (50%), then in diagnosis (31.9%), and least in evaluation (6.3%).

The present study finding communicated that 95.4% of the nursing students believed that nursing diagnoses produce correct, planned, and systemized care. Similar findings were reported by Olmaz and Karakut (2019) which stated that 94.7% of nurses believed that the nursing process should be utilized while providing nursing care.

The nurses’ perception of nursing diagnoses was at a moderate level in the present study. The median of the NDPS subscales was 2. A similar finding was found in another study. The subscale that had the lowest mean (2.3) was the definition and promotion of the nursing profession, and the subscale seen negatively was the conceptual aspect with a mean of 3.04.

It was found that “nursing diagnosis improves the responsibility (accountability) of nurses” was positively perceived (mean=1.77) among the nursing students out of 26 items. The item viewed moderately was “Patients know their own nursing diagnoses” (mean=2.94). A previous study revealed that “nursing diagnosis enables the nurse to focus on nursing-specific areas” with a mean=2.01 and the item viewed negatively was “nursing diagnoses are complementary to medical diagnoses” with a mean=3.71. A separate study conducted by El-Rahman et al., indicated that Jordanian nursing students perceived nursing diagnoses positively. In a study conducted by Hakverdioglu et al., in Turkey found that the majority of the nursing students reported that nursing diagnoses were the priority while providing nursing care.

Conclusion:

The present study findings disclosed that the perception of the nursing diagnoses ranged from moderate to positive, despite that they had agreed and believed that nursing diagnosis is essential for providing quality healthcare. It indicates that constant practice and continuation of its use for practicing nurses intertwined with hospital policies about mandatory use of the nursing process could lead to the establishment of positive perceptions among nurses and nursing students as well. Many studies demonstrated that nurses have positive attitudes and values toward the nursing process. Many other studies elaborated on the fact that nurses reported being competent in the selection of nursing diagnoses, while a few studies

emphasized the importance of reinforcing the nursing process through continuing nursing education, which would aid in their ease of use. This particular aspect should be recommended in all healthcare organizations that shall cater the use of the nursing process without any struggle.

Source of support: None.

Conflicts of interest: None declared

Source of support in the form of grants: None

References:

- Abed El Rahman M, Al Kalaldehy MT, Malak MZ. (2017) Perceptions and attitudes towards NANDA I nursing diagnoses: a cross-sectional study of Jordanian nursing students. *Int J Nurs Knowl*. 28(1): 13-18.
- Abdelkader FA, Othman WNE. (2017) Factors affecting implementation of nursing process: Nurses perspective. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science*. 6(3):76-82.
- Andsoy II, Gungor T, Dikmen Y, Nabel EB (2013). Difficulties that nurses have in using care plan. *Journal of contemporary medicine*. 3(2), 88-94.
- Asti TA, Karadag A. *Fundamental of nursing. Istanbul: Akademi Basin ve yayincilik*, 2012.
- Bang KS, Kang JH, Jun MH, Kim HS, Son HM, Yu SJ, Kwon MK, Kim JS. (2011) Professional values in Korean undergraduate nursing students. *Nurse Education Today*. 31: 102648.
- Carpenito – Moyet LJ.(2010) Invited paper: Teaching nursing diagnosis to increase utilization after graduation. *International Journal of Nursing terminologies and classifications*. 21(3): 124-133.
- Carpenito- Moyet LJ. (2013) *Nursing diagnosis: Application to clinical practice*. Philadelphia. 14th edition. USA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Collins A. (2013) Effect of continuing nursing education on nurses attitude toward and accuracy of nursing diagnosis. *International Journal of Nursing knowledge*. 24(3): 122-128.
- Dennis Ngao M (2015). *Assessing barriers to implementation of nursing process among nurses working at Makos level % hospital* (Master thesis. The University of Nairobi, Kenya)
- Domingos CS, Boscarol GT, Brinati LM, Custodio Dias A, Souza CC, Salgado PO. (2017) The application of computerized nursing process: integrative review. *Enfermeria Global*. 48: 637-652.
- Frost, M., Olsen, G., & Orth, K. (1991). Perceptions of nursing diagnosis survey: Instrument development. Unpublished manuscript, Winona State University.
- Green G. (2020) Examining professional values among nursing students during education: a comparative study. *Nursing forum*. 55 (4): 589-594.
- Johnson L, Edward LK & Giandinoto JA. (2017) A systematic literature review of accuracy in nursing care plans and using standardized nursing language. *Collegian*. 25(3):355-361.
- Hakverdioglu G, Khorsid L, Eser I (2009). Examination of nursing diagnoses used by nursing students and their opinions about nursing diagnoses. *International Journal of Nursing terminologies and Classifications*, 20(4), 162-168.
- Hao AT, Wu LP, Kumar A, Jian WS, Huang LF, Kao CC, Hsu CY (2013). Nursing process decision support system for urology ward. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 82(7), 604-612.
- Novotny – Dinsdale V. (1985) Implementation of nursing diagnosis is one emergency department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*. 11(3): 140-144.
- Ojewole FO, Samole AO. (2017) Evaluation of the nursing process utilization in a teaching hospital, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences*. 4(3): 97-103.
- Olmaz D, Karakurt P (2019). The extent to which nursing knowledge and apply the nursing process when giving care. *Dokuz Eylul University faculty of Nursing Journal of Electronics*. 21(1), 3-14.
- Pokorski S, Moraes MA, Chiarelli R, costanzi AP, Rabelo ER (2009). Nursing process: from literature to practice. What are we actually doing? *Revista Latino- Americana de fermagem*. 17(3), 302-307.
- Poorchangizi B, Borhani F, Abbaszadeh A, Mirzaee M, Farokhzadian J. (2019) The importance of professional values from nursing students' perspective. *BMC Nurs*. 18(26): 1-7.
- Semachew A. (2017) Implementation of nursing process in clinical settings. The case of three governmental hospitals in Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*. 11(173): 1-5.
- Shifaza F, Evans D and Bradley H.(2014) Nurses

perceptions of barriers and facilitators to implement EBP in the Maldives. *Advances in Nursing* 1-7.

Zamanzadeh V, Valizadeh L, Tabrizi FJ, Behsid M, Lotfi M. (2015) Challenges associated with the implementation of the nursing process: a systematic review. *Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery*. 20(4):411-419.

Zaybak A, Gunay Ismailoglu E, Ozdemir H (2016). Examining the difficulties experienced by

nurses in the nursing process applications. *Journal of Anatolia Nursing and Health Sciences*. 19(4), 269-277.

Zaybak A, Ismailoglu EG, Erol A, Ozdemir H. (2020) Adaptation of the positions on nursing diagnosis scale to Turkish. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Nursing Sciences*. 12(4): 520-527.

